Amendment Dated March 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action of December 11, 2008

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 16 and 32-44 have been objected to because of a lack of antecedent basis in

claim 16. Claim 16 has been appropriately amended. Withdrawal of the objection is

respectfully requested.

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan

(171324) in view of Bauer and Jakoubovich. Claims 15-17 and 23-27 have been rejected under

35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the references applied to claim 1, and further in

view of Wiezorek and Haun. Claims 2-4, 6-14, 18-20, and 20-30 were indicated as being

allowable if rewritten into independent form.

Accordingly, claim 1 has been cancelled, and claim 2 has been amended into

independent form. Claim 10 has been amended into independent form. Claim 15 has been

amended into independent form and the features of claim 18 have been added into claim 15.

Claim 18 has been cancelled. Claims 19-21 have been amended to depend from claim 15.

In view of the amendments set forth above, the above-identified application is in

condition for allowance which action is respectfully reguested.

Respectfully submitted

Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,518

MAT-8708US

Attorney for Applicants

LEA/nm

Dated: March 11, 2009

P.O. Box 980

Valley Forge, PA 19482

(610) 407-0700

NM415690

Page 8 of 8